

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/670,630	(	09/27/2000	Jer-Chen Kuo	A0638.019	6172	
37771	7590	11/28/2006		EXAMINER		
		DICK O'DEA & 7 AD, SUITE 400	PHAN, HANH			
PLEASANT			,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- , -		•	2613		

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/670,630	KUO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hanh Phan	2613	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHO WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become	ICATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	<u>eptember 2006</u> .		
,	,—	action is non-final.		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowar			
(	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	on of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖾	Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•
10) 🔲 7	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
11)[] 7	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received. s have been received in	Application No	
•	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		Treceived in this National Stage	
* \$	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received	
	oo and allaction actualled office delicit for a list	2. 3.0 00. anod 00p100 110		
Attachment	• •	_		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date	_	Informal Patent Application	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 09/20/2006.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masucci et al (US Patent No. 6,498,667) in view of Sierens et al (US Patent No. 5,930,262) **OR** Wright et al (US Patent No. 6,411,410).

Regarding claims 1 and 8-11, referring to Figure 1, Masucci teaches an optical communication network comprising :

an optical transmission line (i.e., optical transmission 16, Fig. 1);

an optical line terminal (i.e., central terminal 12, Fig. 1) connected to the optical transmission line;

a plurality of optical network units (i.e., remote terminals 14, Fig. 1) connected to the optical line terminal (12, Fig. 1) and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a wavelength (i.e., optical carrier OC 3, col. 4, lines 1-8) to the optical line terminal (12, Fig. 1) through the optical transmission line (16, Fig. 1)(i.e., col. 3, lines 30-67 and col. 4, lines 1-64).

Art Unit: 2613

Masucci differs from claims 1 and 8-11 in that he fails to teach a first plurality of optical network units connected to the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a first wavelength through the optical transmission line and a second plurality of optical network units connected to the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a second wavelength different than the first wavelength to the optical line terminal through the optical transmission line. Sierens, from the same field of endeavor, likewise teaches a system comprising a central station coupled to each of a plurality of terminal stations (Fig. 2). Sierens further teaches a first plurality of terminal stations TS1-TSn (i.e., subgroup 1, Fig. 2) connected to the central station CS and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a first wavelength to the central station CS through the optical transmission line and a second plurality of terminal stations TS1-TSn (i.e., subgroup 2, Fig. 2) connected to the central station CS and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a second wavelength different than the first wavelength to the central station CS through the optical transmission line (i.e., col. 6, lines 8-19 and col. 8, lines 22-32). OR Wright, from the same field of endeavor, likewise teaches a passive optical networks comprising an optical line terminal 12 coupled to a plurality of optical network units ONU1-ONU5 (Figs. 1 and 2). Wright further teaches that all the ONUs 14-1 to 14-5 transmit data in a predetermined TDMA format to the OLT 12 and more than one wavelength could be used by the ONUs to transmit data to the OLT 12 (i.e., col. 6, lines 25-30). Based on this teaching, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the first plurality of optical network units connected to

Art Unit: 2613

the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a first wavelength through the optical transmission line and the second plurality of optical network units connected to the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a second wavelength different than the first wavelength to the optical line terminal through the optical transmission line as taught by Sierens OR Wright in the system of Masucci. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since allowing reducing the interference between the signals, avoiding the collisions at the central station and providing the optical communication system with high speed and high capacity and reducing the cost of the system.

Regarding claim 7, Masucci further teaches the optical transmission line (16, Fig. 1) is fiber optic line.

Regarding claim 12, Masucci further teaches the network has an architecture selected from the group consisting of ring, tree and bus architectures (i.e., tree architecture, Fig. 1).

4. Claims 1 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Proctor (US Patent No. 5,872,645) in view of Sierens et al (US Patent No. 5,930,262) **OR** Wright et al (US Patent No. 6,411,410).

Regarding claims 1 and 8-11, referring to Figures 1A and 1C, Proctor teaches an optical communication network comprising an optical transmission line, an optical line terminal (i.e., headend, Fig. 1C) connected to the optical transmission line, and N optical network units (i.e., NTEs and ONUs, Fig. 1C), each of the optical network units

Art Unit: 2613

being connected to a communicating with the optical line terminal (HEADEND, Fig. 1C) at one wavelength using a TDMA protocol (i.e., col. 2, lines 17-26, col. 3, lines 3-16 and see abstract section).

Proctor differs from claims 1 and 8-11 in that he fails to teach a first plurality of optical network units connected to the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a first wavelength through the optical transmission line and a second plurality of optical network units connected to the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a second wavelength different than the first wavelength to the optical line terminal through the optical transmission line. Sierens, from the same field of endeavor, likewise teaches a system comprising a central station coupled to each of a plurality of terminal stations (Fig. 2). Sierens further teaches a first plurality of terminal stations TS1-TSn (i.e., subgroup 1, Fig. 2) connected to the central station CS and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a first wavelength to the central station CS through the optical transmission line and a second plurality of terminal stations TS1-TSn (i.e., subgroup 2, Fig. 2) connected to the central station CS and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a second wavelength different than the first wavelength to the central station CS through the optical transmission line (i.e., col. 6, lines 8-19 and col. 8, lines 22-32). OR Wright, from the same field of endeavor, likewise teaches a passive optical networks comprising an optical line terminal 12 coupled to a plurality of optical network units ONU1-ONU5 (Figs. 1 and 2). Wright further teaches that all the ONUs 14-1 to 14-5 transmit data in a predetermined TDMA format to the OLT 12 and more than one wavelength could be

Application/Control Number: 09/670,630 Page 6

Art Unit: 2613

used by the ONUs to transmit data to the OLT 12 (i.e., col. 6, lines 25-30). Based on this teaching, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the first plurality of optical network units connected to the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a first wavelength through the optical transmission line and the second plurality of optical network units connected to the optical line terminal and configured for optically transmitting TDMA signals of a second wavelength different than the first wavelength to the optical line terminal through the optical transmission line as taught by Sierens OR Wright in the system of Proctor. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since allowing reducing the interference between the signals, avoiding the collisions at the central station and providing the optical communication system with high speed and high capacity and reducing the cost of the system.

Regarding claim 7, Proctor further teaches the optical transmission line (i.e., Fig. 1C) is fiber optic line.

Regarding claim 12, Proctor further teaches the network has an architecture selected from the group consisting of ring, tree and bus architectures (i.e., tree architecture, Fig. 1C).

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,630 Page 7

Art Unit: 2613

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-3035.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

HANH PHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER